Does the use of a colour coded inpatient capillary blood glucose monitoring chart influence outcomes?

DHIRAJ SHARMA¹, MATTHEW GERLACH², VIVEK SHARMA³, KETAN K DHATARIYA⁴,⁵

Abstract
Background: Dysglycaemia in hospital inpatients is associated with harm. However, bedside capillary glucose monitoring often occurs with inadequate frequency, with inappropriate action taken when dysglycaemia occurs.
Aims: To assess whether the introduction of a colour coded blood glucose monitoring chart had an impact on glycaemic control, documentation of action taken, length of stay and mortality in an unselected cohort of hospital inpatients.
Methods: A cross-sectional study before (2014) and after (2017) the introduction of the new chart was undertaken. Data were collected for the 24 hours prior to inclusion in the study.
Results: Data from 56 individuals were collected in 2014 and from 161 in 2017. 57% and 22% experienced dysglycaemia in 2014 and 2017, respectively. Action was taken in 24.2% of cases in 2014 and in 56.8% in 2017. Median length of stay was 16.1 days (IQR 8.4–39.4) in 2014 and 13.1 days (5.7–25.3) in 2017. For those who experienced dysglycaemia and in whom action was not recorded, mortality was 30% and 20% in 2014 and 2017 compared with 6% and 15%, respectively, for those who had no dysglycaemia.
Conclusions: The introduction of a colour coded blood glucose monitoring chart led to more action being recorded when dysglycaemia occurred and reduced length of hospital stay and mortality.

Introduction
Substantial data exist to suggest that dysglycaemia is associated with adverse clinical outcomes in medical and surgical inpatients.¹⁻² Previous authors have suggested that maintaining good glycaemic control in non-critically ill hospital inpatients reduces length of stay, mortality and short-term readmission rates.³⁻⁴ Despite the frequency of dysglycaemia in inpatients and the evidence emphasising the importance of maintaining glycaemic control, action to treat dysglycaemia is frequently undocumented.⁵ What remains uncertain, however, is what interventions can be put into place quickly and cheaply to improve clinical care for this large group of potentially vulnerable inpatients.

The aim of this study was to assess whether the introduction of a colour coded capillary blood glucose (CBG) monitoring chart for bedside use had an impact on inpatient glycaemic control in an unselected cohort of hospital inpatients. In addition, we wanted to see if the introduction of the new chart was associated with any changes in the documentation of action taken, length of hospital stay and mortality.

Methods
We performed a cross-sectional study 1 year before and 2 years after the introduction of the new colour coded chart during the summer of 2015 across a large teaching hospital in East Anglia, UK. Data were collected for people on medical wards over a single 24-hour period documenting the number of dysglycaemic episodes, what action was taken and whether this action was documented in the medical notes and if it was appropriate. Dysglycaemia was defined as glucose <4.0 mmol/L or >15.0 mmol/L.

Inclusion criteria included hospital inpatients with a previously documented diagnosis of type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus who were over the age of 18 years and on a medical ward. Exclusion criteria included people undergoing surgery, those who were pregnant or post-partum, and those diagnosed with diabetes mellitus during their current admission. Data were collected from 56 individuals in September 2014 and from 160 in August 2017.

For the 20 or more years prior to the introduction of the coloured chart, our hospital used a grey-scale chart with room for plotting CBG, ketones and action taken (Figure 1). The new glucose chart was designed after an extensive consultation process within our hospital. The chart used a colour coded...
Figure 1. The grey scale chart used for more than 20 years prior to the introduction of the coloured chart
Figure 2. The new coloured blood glucose monitoring chart
‘traffic light’ system. Each colour had set actions when CBG concentrations were plotted within each coloured area (Figure 2). Each action was in accordance with the Joint British Diabetes Societies for Inpatient Care guidelines.\textsuperscript{11}

After collecting data from the hospital notes on dysglycaemic episodes, we later assessed the length of stay and mortality of our cohort. This was collected using the Integrated Client Environment System (Sunguest Information Systems, Uxbridge, UK).

A p value $<0.05$ was significant and results were given with 95% confidence intervals. The mean length of stay was compared using the samples t-test to look for statistical significance.

**Results**

Data from 56 and 161 individuals were collected in 2014 and 2017, respectively. The 2014 population included 57.2% males (n=32), with an average age of 74.9 years (range 36–93 years). The 2017 population included 60.0% males (n=96) with the average age being 74.1 years (range 19–112 years). In the 2014 cohort there were 16 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (28.6%) on diet/metformin only; in 2017 this was 60 (37.3%).

The introduction of the colour coded chart resulted in a statistically significant reduction in dysglycaemic episodes, falling from 57% (n=32) in 2014 to 22% (n=36) in 2017 (p$<0.0001$). Furthermore, since the introduction of the colour charts there was also a statistically significant improvement in the recording of ‘action taken to remedy the change in blood glucose’, which was 24.2% (n=8) in 2014 and 56.8% (n=21) in 2017 (p$<0.01$).

There was also an improvement in whether actions taken were appropriate according to hospital guidelines, increasing from 24% (n=8) in 2014 to 49% (n=18) in 2017 (p$<0.05$). However, this was offset by the statistically significant reduction in the recording of episodes in the notes, falling from 100% in 2014 (n=37) to 45.9% (n=17) in 2017 (p$<0.0001$).

Following the introduction of the colour coded charts, the average length of stay reduced by 3 days but, because of the small numbers analysed, this reduction was not statistically significant (p=0.4662).

For those who experienced dysglycaemia and in whom no action was recorded, in-hospital mortality was 30% in 2014 compared with 6% for those who had no dysglycaemia. This fell to 20% and 15% in 2017.

There was a significant reduction in the inappropriate use of short acting insulin to correct episodes of hyperglycaemia from 17.9% (n=10) in 2014 to 6.8% (n=11) in 2017 (p=0.0321). The use of variable rate intravenous insulin infusions (VRIII) also fell significantly from 8.9% (n=5) in 2014 to 1.2% (n=2) in 2017 (p=0.0134).

**Discussion**

Our data have shown that the use of a colour coded bedside CBG chart is associated with reductions in dysglycaemic episodes, hospital length of stay, inappropriate use of correct doses of bolus insulin or VRIII and mortality. In addition, there was an increase in recording in the hospital notes the action taken to remedy the change in blood glucose. These positive findings were offset by a reduction in recording of episodes of dysglycaemia in the notes.

The most recent data from the National Diabetes Inpatient Audit (NaDIA) suggest that, on average, 18% of hospital inpatients in England and Wales have diabetes.\textsuperscript{19} Previous work has shown that inpatients frequently experience high and low glucose concentrations whilst in hospital. Bersoux et al analysed glucometer data from 2.6 million inpatients and found that the prevalence of hyperglycaemic and hypoglycaemic events was 32.3% and 6.1%, respectively, of those not on intensive care.\textsuperscript{12} Previous work has shown that individuals who have episodes of dysglycaemia experience more harm than those whose blood glucose concentrations remain within the reference range.\textsuperscript{6,8,13-18}

The potential impact of these episodes goes well beyond the inpatient service, but extends to service provision across the whole hospital and into the community.\textsuperscript{19}

The data from NaDIA also suggest that diabetes is often mismanaged.\textsuperscript{10} One of the areas that NaDIA examined was the frequency of blood glucose testing, and whether it was deemed to be appropriate. The data showed that, whilst glucose monitoring is usually carried out, it is often at an inappropriate frequency with no action taken when glucose concentrations are out of range.\textsuperscript{10} This is important because guidance from the General Medical Council in their ‘Good Medical Practice’ document stipulates that accurate recording is an essential part of good clinical care.\textsuperscript{20}

The main change made to the glucose chart was the traffic light illustration with clear instructions on when action should be taken (Figures 1 and 2). Those with dysglycaemia recorded on the charts had action taken to address this more often in 2017, and this action was deemed to be the correct action in a greater proportion of individuals. We appreciate that this could be due to a number of additional factors other than the instructions on the chart, in particular the underlying experience and knowledge among healthcare professionals — particularly because the JBD S guidelines are so extensively used.\textsuperscript{21} Although a good knowledge of diabetes management may have pre-existed, it is possible that the most junior members of healthcare professionals — for example, the Foundation Year doctors who may not have been so familiar with the JBD S documents — were guided more by the colour and instructions on the chart. However, whether this was the case remains an unanswered question.

Related to this outcome was the reduction in the inappropriate use of correction doses of short acting insulin and VRIII. This is not directly related to the new charts because they do not specify the necessary action to be taken; however, it implies that when insulin was used it was more likely to be in accordance with guidelines. The new charts instruct the person recording the blood glucose to ‘take action’; this was deliberate to ensure that there was no ‘proscriptive’ course of action because the cause for the episode of dysglycaemia was likely to have been different. The phrase was designed to be a prompt for the individual to find out what action was necessary through discussion with a senior/specialist or by consulting the hospital guidelines.

We wanted to assess the impact of any ‘action taken’ on other outcomes. Average length of stay was reduced by 3 days,
but this was not found to be statistically significant. Once again we were unable to determine if this reduction was due to the action taken or some other factor. However, previous data have suggested that improved management of dysglycaemia has a positive impact on length of hospital stay. If the introduction of the colour coded glucose chart was associated with increased action being taken when glucose concentrations were outside of the recommended range

Key messages

- Dysglycaemia is a common occurrence in people with diabetes in hospital and is associated with excess harms.
- The introduction of a colour coded glucose chart was associated with increased action being taken when glucose concentrations were outside of the recommended range.
- Length of stay and mortality also decreased.

This study was primarily based on the documentation on the blood glucose chart and the hospital notes. Documentation has the potential to be incorrect and does not allow room for reasoning unless highly detailed. This is not usually the case. Whilst we accept that many institutions are moving towards electronic health records and electronic recording of CGM, there remains the necessity to clearly and accurately document what actions are taken in the event of dysglycaemia. The use of the colour coded charts appeared to have improved clinical management. It would thus be reasonable to argue that they may be a precursor to networked blood glucose monitors that are increasingly being used, allowing for rapid and automated escalations of action.

Conclusion

We have shown that, after implementation of a new colour coded bedside CGM chart, fewer individuals on medical wards experienced a dysglycaemic episode and, when they did, they were managed in better accordance with national guidelines. There was an associated non-significant but clinically meaningful reduction in length of stay in hospital. Further work needs to be done to explore the reasons for these findings and the impact of the colour coded chart on staff knowledge.

Conflict of interest

None.

Funding

None.

Author contributions

DS, MG and VS collected the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. KD had the initial idea and wrote the final draft of the manuscript. All authors saw and approved the final submitted manuscript.

References

The British Journal of Diabetes is the official journal of the ABCD and primarily seeks to fulfil the needs of diabetes practitioners. Our mission statement is to provide the diabetes multi-disciplinary team with free, accessible, authoritative, peer-reviewed articles, offer a context for practice and facilitate understanding of professional challenges.

We are keen to encourage new, relevant and interesting content to help support improvements in patient care and clinical practice. We are inviting contributions of the following article types:

- **ORIGINAL ARTICLES / RESEARCH**: any topic / area of diabetes or vascular research which is clinically meaningful to diabetes health care professionals.
- **REVIEW ARTICLES**: we welcome reviews that look at specific topics and explore the current literature.
- **CURRENT TOPICS**: short articles or reports on subject of present relevance in the diabetes world.
- **CASE REPORTS**: we are keen to encourage clinical cases which elucidate interesting practice points, case scenarios, good clinical images (with patient permission) and common problems faced by diabetologists.
- **ACHIEVING BEST PRACTICE**: do you run a good diabetes service? Articles which describe innovative approaches to patient care, cost effective management and good organisation or service re-design.

**Author instructions**: http://bjd-abcd.com/index.php/bjd/about/submissions#authorGuidelines


**Enquiries**: editor1@bjd-abcd.com and ja@bjd-abcd.com